PUBLIC PETITIONS COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF PE1105 QUESTIONS ARISING FROM COMMITTEE MEETINGS

TUESDAY 1 DECEMBER 2015

Scottish Government -

 When will the Scottish Government be meeting with the St Margaret of Scotland Hospice and NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde to discuss the accountancy review?

TUESDAY 22 SEPTEMBER 2015

Scottish Government -

- Why have efforts to resolve this matter failed?
- Can you set a timetable for the appointment of an alternative third party to conduct the accountancy review?
- You may also consider appointing an independent person of public stature to oversee the accountancy review.

TUESDAY 12 MAY 2015

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde/ St Margaret of Scotland Hospice / Scottish Government –

• The Committee seeks assurances that all parties will meet to agree on the appointment of a third party to undertake an accountancy review.

TUESDAY 3 MARCH 2015

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde -

- Can you clarify what level of information about the Board's funding of hospices it is willing to make available and to whom?
- How was Grant Thornton appointed?
- Does the Board share the petitioner's concerns about Grant Thornton's potential conflict of interest and would the Board be willing to consider appointing a different third party who had no previous connection with any of the parties to the dispute?

St Margaret's Hospice –

 Can you clarify whether you raised concerns about the independence of Grant Thornton when it was appointed as the third party to conduct the accountancy review?

TUESDAY 10 DECEMBER 2013

Cabinet Secretary for Health and Wellbeing—

 What date has been set by which the dispute between NHS GG&C and St Margaret of Scotland Hospice should be resolved?

TUESDAY 19 FEBRUARY 2013

Cabinet Secretary for Health and Wellbeing—

- You stated in your previous letter that the Scottish Government had requested notification by the end of January 2013 if this particular dispute had not been resolved. What notifications have you received regarding this dispute?
- What is the status of the national Hospice Quality Improvement Forum and when will it be in a position to provide guidance to health boards in relation to the issues raised in this petition?

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde—

- Please provide further detailed information on which mutually agreed specialist palliative care services are provided by St Margaret of Scotland Hospice, and how this differs from other hospices in your health board area that receive greater funding.
- Please provide details of how funding figures are calculated and demonstrate clearly and quantitatively that there is equity of funding across all hospices in your health board area.

TUESDAY 18 SEPTEMBER 2012

Cabinet Secretary for Health and Wellbeing—

• The Committee would like to draw your attention to the most recent response received from the petitioner (<u>PE1105/CCC</u>), and would be grateful to hear your views on the concerns that have been raised by the petitioner and by Members during the discussion.

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde—

 Following the petitioner's most recent response (<u>PE1105/CCC</u>) the Committee seeks further clarification on the disparity of funding between hospices in your area.

TUESDAY 12 JUNE 2012

Scottish Government— NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde—

The Committee would be grateful if you could provide clarity on why
there is a disparity between the payment per bed for St Margaret of
Scotland Hospice and the payments per bed for other hospices both

- under the jurisdiction of the Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board and throughout Scotland?
- Can you confirm if this disparity will be resolved by the recent guidance on the future funding for hospices which was published as a Chief Executive Letter (CEL 12 (2012)) in May 2012?

TUESDAY 7 FEBRUARY 2012

Scottish Government—

• Further to your response of 8 November 2011, the Committee would be grateful to receive an update on the progress of the Short Life Working Group in developing the revised guidance for future funding arrangements for hospices?

TUESDAY 4 OCTOBER 2011

Scottish Government—

- Can you provide an update on the progress of your discussions on developing the revised guidance for future funding arrangements for hospices?
- You will note that in her response (PE1105/VV) at paragraph 6 that the
 petitioner states that meeting(s) regarding the future funding of
 hospices were held in August 2011 at which representatives of various
 hospices that had been involved in the original review group were not
 in attendance at. The Committee would be interested to hear the
 Scottish Government's views on this matter and if they would be able
 to provide any information to the Committee on the detail of the
 meeting (s).

TUESDAY 23 NOVEMBER 2010

Scottish Government—

• When will you publish the revised guidance on adult hospice funding set out in HDL (2003)18?

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde—

 What action(s) will you take as part of the quarterly meetings between the Board representatives and the Hospice to discuss and resolve the issues raised in the petition?

TUESDAY 5 OCTOBER 2010

Scottish Government—

 Will you make publicly available, and when, the report and recommendations made by the working group set up to review guidance on adult hospice funding set out in HDL (2003)18?

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde—

• What was the outcome of the latest meeting that took place between the two boards and what solutions were agreed?

TUESDAY 20 APRIL 2010

Scottish Government—

 The Public Petitions Committee asked that you submit a response to it once you have considered the outcomes of the review of the guidance on adult hospice funding set out in HDL (2003)18.

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde— Petitioner—

 What discussions have taken place between Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Board and the petitioner following Des McNulty MSP's Members Business on 11 March 2010 and what progress did result?